

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/572,725	03/21/2006	Seon Ho Han	CU-4700 WWP	6890	
26530 LADAS & PA	7590 07/27/2010 RRY LLP	EXAMINER			
224 SOUTH M	IICHIGAN AVENUE	HSIEH, PING Y			
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	.60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/572,725	HAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PING Y. HSIEH	2618		

	PING Y. HSIEH	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods:	FR 1.114. The reply must be med	within one of the follow	ving time
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-evived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	lianna with 27 CER 41 27 must be	Eladithin two months	a of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>		I alam an almostificiam si	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		- I priserio (	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>21,23 and 25.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,8,22,24 and 26.</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Lana N. Le/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2614	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In pages 2.7 of the remarks, regarding claims 1, 3, and 8, applicant argues that Welland does not disclose at all the characteristics of the present invention which uses a digital frequency control voltage (VDT) signal only or the VDT signal and anolg frequency control voltage (VDT) signal only or the VDT signal and madig frequency control voltage (VAT) signal both, in order to control at least one of the receive amplifier, the receive mixer, the transmit mixer and the transmit amplifier. Applicant further argues the differences between Kim and the present invention, where Kim is using only analog control signal.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kim discloses using a frequency control voltage signal to control at least one of the receive amplifier, the receive in mixer and the transmit amplifier (as shown in fig. 1A and 1B). Although Kim dost specifically disclose the frequency control voltage signal is in a form of a digital frequency control voltage (VDT) signal only of the VDT singal and an analog frequency control voltage (VAT) signal both, Welland discloses the socillator is a digital analog tunning voltage controlled coscillator for providing the output resonant frequency in paragraph 56-60; and VCO is controlled by VAT (i.e. Vc) and VDT (i.e. Bc) signals. Furthermore, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. Even thought Kim is using analog control signal as the frequency control voltage signal, Welland swite the advantage of using both digital and analog control signal (see Welland, paragraph 11), which cures the deficiency argued by the applicant. Therefore, when considering the combination of Kim and Welland as a whole, the combination teaches all the claimed limitations.

Therefore, based on the logical response to the arguments provided above, the examiner repectfully renders claims 1,3,8,22,24 and 26 unpatentable over the cited art. Applicant presents additional arguments which do not render the claims allowable after the prosectution on the merit is closed.